




DDCA Traditional Competition Survey, April 2019

Thank you to those coaches who responded to the Traditional Competition Survey. The Board and the JALT will use this feedback to drive decisions around competition formats for the 2019-2020 competition year. The purpose of this document is to provide a re-cap to the membership of the feedback received. This information was also shared at the Spring Membership Meeting. Please reach out to the Board at ddcaoregon@gmail.com if you have any questions or would like clarifications.

Number of surveys submitted: 35


**Please note: Not all respondents answered every question.*





Comment Summary (*number after the dash indicates the number of people with the comment*):

- One Round positive – 3
- One Round but add Specialty Awards - 1
- Two Round positive – 3
- Consistency of competition formats – 1
- Locals should match state – 3
- If one round, increase floor practice to practice set-up/take down - 1
- Appreciated Specialty Awards – 2



What do you feel worked really well this traditional season, in regards to competition formats?


Number after the dash indicates the number of people with the comment:

- One round – 6
- Shorter days - 5
- Enjoyed the creative of the 2nd round/option of staying – 3
- Appreciated the Specialty Awards – 2
- Locals matching state – 4
- Enjoyed the variety – 1
- More advanced communication regarding the format – 1
- One round scores/One round comments – 1

What do you feel could be improved, in regards to competition formats?

Number after the dash indicates the number of people with the comment:

- Prefer 2 rounds - 2
- Prefer 2 rounds with fewer teams – 1
- Prefer 1 round - 3
- Regain consistency between events - 3
- Trying written feedback - 1
- Additional floor time for prop set-up/take down practice - 1
- Vote on spectator admission prices – 1
- Shorter days – 3
- Option to select the format they like – 1
- Shorter judges’ commentary – 1
- Larger judging pool – 1



Comments Received (*number after the dash indicates the number of people with the comment*):

- Commentary is spread too thin - 1
- Follow-up when a recording doesn’t happen - 1
- Some language compared us to a previous year/judge what is presented - 2
- Less commentary after the performance is done - 2
- Less focus on forms/difficulty of forms - 1
- Scoring Consistency/Comments not matching scores - 6
- Move towards written comments - 1
- More on areas we can improve - 2
- Give credit were due - 1
- Be kind in comments - 4
- Provide specifics in comments – 1
- Focus on difficulty - 1
- It was all good information – 1

Additional comments received (*number after the dash indicates the number of people with the comment*):

- Two rounds at state or more judges - 1
- Consistency between judges - 1
- Don’t envy the job of the judges - 1
- Traditional season ran well - 1
- Head judge should receive the same pay as other judges - 1
- Comments aren’t needed at state - 1